

Hoosier Archives was originally a periodic listing of the Diplomacy archives of Walter Buchanan, R. R. #3, Lebanon, Indiana 46052, telephone (317) 482-2824; Archives Director, Postal Diplomacy Congress, and V-P/Treas., International Diplomacy Association. It is now primarily a Diplomacy gazette devoted to articles on good play, demonstration games such as the Multiple Winners Invitational (1972CR) now in progress, rating systems and game news. Information from the archives is vital for all this and is available to the public as well. Although the archives is virtually complete in at least xerox form, missing undamaged originals are solicited, either for purchase or a loan to permit xeroxing. (See the last archives listing in Hoosier Archives #53 for zines needed.) Many original spares are now available from the archives; more are solicited so as to make them available to others. A subscription to Hoosier Archives is 13/\$2.00 or 6/\$1.00; back issues are 15¢ apiece (20% discount for all available). Ask for #87 to get a list of all articles through #90. This is Albatross Press publication #90.

### SPECIAL ISSUE

In an effort to eliminate the feuding that is all too prevalent in Diplomacy today, Larry Peery recently asked me to publish the "white paper" below. Also, on Saturday, I was delighted to receive from Jeff Power the latest Brodwing Rating List. Due to the length of both of these items I don't have room to include them in the next issue since, among other things, it will chronicle the Fall 1901 moves for the Multiple Winner Invitational. Therefore, I have decided to put out this special issue, this being a "long weekend" and all (which means that "Associate Editors" have to labor twice as much this weekend! CA-), also this will put us an issue ahead so that when law finals roll around, I can skip a week and, as I'm sure will be the case, study some much neglected subjects!

#### A NEW DIPLOMACY COMMUNITY: A WHITE PAPER

by Larry Peery

It is a great pleasure to be allowed to appear in this distinguished magazine. I know how important this forum is to the formulation of public views on important Diplomacy matters. For that reason, I am very happy to appear in Hoosier Archives to discuss the interorganizational relations of the Diplomacy community generally and the "foreign policy" of the International Diplomacy Association in particular. I believe these are subjects of interest to all thoughtful Diplomacy players.

Let me begin with a conclusion. As a result of initiatives taken by Council members of the IDA at the Chicago DIPCON in interorganizational affairs, the prestige of the IDA throughout the Diplomacy community has never been greater. Operating on the basic principle that "there is no greater gift that one age could make to the ages that follow than to forge the key to a lasting peace," the Council has consistently directed every step to that end. As a result, the Diplomacy community today is a much less hostile place than it was a year ago, and, hopefully, the first foundations for a genuinely stable and lasting peace in the community have been laid.

The IDA Council has resisted the temptation to assume an organizational mortgage--to buy temporary peace at the cost of future instability. Real peace cannot be bought with the future; it must be built for the future. That takes patience and careful planning. It takes a willingness to break new ground in improving relationships with former adversaries. It takes strength and a continuing fidelity to solemn obligations and to principles. That is the path the IDA Council has chosen. That is why our standing is at an all time high--both with our friends and with our adversaries. That is why--in the vernacular of the day--"the Diplomacy community is not so up tight," as it was a year ago.

At Chicago, in addition to a visit with John Beshara, Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Diplomacy Association, by several Council members, talks were held with Allan Calhaver, the designer of the game; John Moot, of Games Research; and a host of Diplomacy publishers and players.

With most of these people our relations have been friendly and close. With some, however, they have been, at best, "correct" and limited. Hopefully, these discussions explained our hopes and our efforts for a more peaceful community, and sought their views on how such a community could best be achieved. In this article I would like to discuss with you some of the things I discussed with them.

What kind of a Diplomacy community do we seek? First of all, it should be a community in which players, gamesters and publishers--whether friends or enemies, partners or competitors--talk to each other.

During the formation of IDA the prevailing belief was that, because we had important differences with our adversaries, we could not talk. The Council has proceeded from the opposite belief--that because we have important differences, we must talk. Of course, the Council knew that dialogue alone would not bridge the differences; but it also knew that without dialogue, they might never be bridged.

The policy of IDA, therefore, is based on the conviction that communication between strangers, and negotiations between adversaries, serves the cause of a more tranquil community.

That is why the meetings at Chicago, both within the IDA membership and leadership, and with non-members, were so important; they established an essential dialogue between us. We must now move from dialogue to concrete negotiation and then to a growing cooperation.

During my Chicago discussions, I found a general appreciation of this. And I made clear our belief that what is working for us can work for others. Nothing can be more productive than a willingness in all areas of Diplomacy to move away from the rigidities of the past year or so.

The second characteristic of the peaceful community in Diplomacy we seek is closely related to the first. As that community must be marked by dialogue and negotiation, so too must it be open to the free flow of players, magazines, and ideas. We are convinced that the cause of peace is advanced by contact among players, magazines and organizations and by a free exchange of ideas at all levels. Thus, in any area such contact has been limited, we are seeking to enlarge it.

The third characteristic of the peaceful Diplomacy community we seek is that there must be universal respect for the right of every player, magazine and organization to independence, sovereignty, and to its own internal development free of outside interference.

In pressing for the removal of barriers to freer contact, we have no interest in meddling in anybody's internal affairs. On the contrary, our policy springs from a different and positive conviction--that more open relations will release tensions, and will promote the normal human communication and that encourages people and organizations to live, and let live, in peace and friendship.

We in the IDA feel strongly, most strongly, about the right of other organizations to develop according to their own desires because we fought a hard battle to win those rights for ourselves. We respect them for others. Indeed, we regard these rights as fundamental to peaceful relations among organizations. They must apply all the time, not just some of the time. And they must apply in all cases, not just some cases.

This principle is basic to our relations with other groups. We are ready for an improvement or expansion of relations at whatever pace and in whatever manner they themselves are prepared to follow.

In time, we hope to move from "coexistence" toward a more active and cooperative relationship. Building on the recognition of each organization's right to run its own affairs, we are working for a community in which former adversaries can, not only coexist in peace, but also cooperate in peace.

The fourth major characteristic of the peaceful Diplomacy community we seek is a willingness among its members, particularly publishers, to reduce their reliance on negative propaganda as a viable instrument of making personal and magazine policy. Even today, a growing willingness to limit the use of such propaganda is evident.

We therefore hope and expect that the principles of peaceful relations to which we and the Diplomacy Association committed ourselves in Chicago will be more than idle social chatter. For our part, we will certainly do our best to translate them into practical realities.

As we move toward new and more constructive relationships with former adversaries, it is all the more important that we preserve a matrix of stability. How can we do so?

First, by ensuring that the search for new relationships will not be at the expense of our principles.

Second, by recognizing that these relationships will improve our own creditability only if, in pursuing them, we maintain these principles.

Third, by affirming by work and deed that a peaceful community will not be sought as it could not be achieved--through an abandonment of our obligations and interests.

This, then, is the kind of peaceful Diplomacy community which we would like to see and toward which IDA Council policies are directed:

---A community in which dialogue and negotiation have replaced monologue and conflict;

---A community in which people can move freely and easily across organizational borders;

---A community in which recognition of the sovereignty and independence of all players, magazines and organizations is the first principle of Diplomacy;

---A community in which negative propaganda is relied on less and less as an instrument of personal and magazine policy.

A year ago would anyone have thought such a community possible in our time? Even today, no one would pretend that it will be achieved soon or that the problems and difficulties are not formidable. But for the first time since Veritas Vincit such a community is possible.

We cannot help to turn that possibility into a reality as we withdraw to our own magazines and organizations. To help build an enduring peace we need to remain responsibly engaged in Diplomacy community affairs.

The International Diplomacy Association must and will remain so engaged.

(Speaking of the IDA, for information on how you can join this rapidly growing service organization (membership now well over 200), write the Acting Membership Secretary, Steve Bell, at 5605 Virgilwood Drive, Greensboro, N.C. 27409.

Also, since we have some room left before the honored feature of the Broddingnag Raving List, we will finish out the Calhamer Point Rating List By Country that was started in Housier Archives #76.)

#### THE CALHAMER POINT COUNT RATING LIST BY COUNTRY

##### France

2.000	Lewis Pulsipher	1.000	Tom Eller	1.000	Charles Wells
1.500	Dan Barrows		Gary Jones		Charles Welsh
			Dave Lebling		
1.333	Don Berman		Don Miller	.583	Hal Naus
	Charles Reinsel		Derek Nelson		Larry Peery
1.200	Gene Prosnitz		Jerry Pournelle	.333	Charles Turner
			Peter Rosamilia		
1.000	John Beshara		Tom Rosenbaum	.200	O. L. DeWitt
	Edd Birsan		John Smythe		Bruce Gletty
	Walt Buchanan		Bob Strayer		George Schelz
	James Dygert		Colin Watson		Sid Witt
				.167	Mike Goldstein

##### Italy

2.000	John Smythe	1.000	Gene Prosnitz	.250	Russ Jones
1.000	John Beshara		Hank Reinhardt		Conrad von Metzke
	Frank Clark		Bob Rosenfeld		
	Tom Eller		Larry St. Cyr	.200	Dan Barrows
	Margaret Cemignani		Russell Tulp		Don Berman
	Banks Mebane		Bob Ward		Sid Cochran
	Jim Munroe	.500	Bud Pendergrass		Eric Just
	Jerry Pournelle				Andy Phillips
	Jeff Power	.333	Charles Turner		Peter Rosamilia

# THE BRODDINGNAG RATING LIST

The BROB rating list is maintained and edited by Jeff Power, 221 1942 Hall, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540. Inquiries and complaints should be addressed there. The list is published by Walt Buchanan and can be obtained only from him.

Only active players have been included in this listing to the best of my knowledge. Oversights are unavoidable, however, and I depend on you to provide information leading to the list's correction and improvement.

Included in this listing are 214 games, fourteen of which have been added since the last edition. The games are as follows: 1963B, 1964A, B, D, 1965A-I, K-M, P-W, 1966A-F, H, I, K-O, R, T, Z, AA, AB, AC, AD, AG-AI, AK-AM, AO, AQ, AS-AV, AZ, BB-BD, BG, BI-BO, 1967B, E, H-J, M-P, T-W, Y, Z, AA, AB, AC, AF-AH, AJ-AL, AN-AU, AW, AZ, BA-BG, 1968C-P, R-T, V-Z, AB, AC, AD, AG-AI, AN, AO, AV, AX, AY, BA-BD, BS-BU, BY, BZ, CA, CB, CD, CE, CH-CM, CP, CL, 1969C, E-H, K-M, R, S, T, U, X, Y, Z, AC, AE, AF, AY, AZ, BA, BO, BG, BI, BK, BO, BQ, BS, BU, BW, BX, BY, BZ, CA, CB, CE, CK, CL, 1970A, D, E, H-J, M, P, S, AD, AF, AG, AQ, BB, BQ, BR.

The next list will be edited after Christmas.

## Country List

57.8	+199	England (30W)
56.6	+170	Turkey (34W)
55.6	+143	France (24W)
50.8	+21	Russia (38W)
49.0	-26	Italy (17W)
43.7	-162	Germany (16W)
36.7	-345	Austria (24W)

## Top Board

93.3	5	+26	Randy Rytwerk (3W)
89.1	4	+20	Brenton Van Pelt (2W)
88.3	5	+26	Tom Ellier (5W)
85.2	4	+18	Len Bakafas (W)
82.7	14	+55	Andy Phillips (6W)
81.6	3	+13	Jeff Key (W)
81.4	6	+23	Dan Barrows (W)

## Second Board

81.2	2	+10	Lee Childs (W)
81.2	2	+10	Jeff Wolfe (W)
80.2	17	+62	Rod Walker (2W)
78.0	29	+94	Eal Neus (3W)
76.7	3	+11	Dick Miller (2W)
76.0	6	+19	Ed Halle (W)
76.0	7	+22	Law Sulzapher (3W)

## The Rest Of Us

75.0	2	+8	Ken Boracki (2)
75.0	1	+8	Peggy Bowers (W)
75.0	1	+6	Walt Buchanan (W)
75.0	1	+6	Jack Flemming (W)
75.0	2	+8	Harry Gullett (W)

75.0	1	+6	George Inzer (W)
75.0	1	+6	Robert Katsive (W)
75.0	2	+8	Conan LaMotte
75.0	1	+6	Eric Nielsen (W)
75.0	1	+6	Ken Rosamilia (W)
75.0	1	+6	Robert Rosenfield (W)
75.0	2	+8	Chris Schleicher (W)
75.0	1	+6	Arnold Vagts (W)
73.4	4	+12	Whehan Thomson (2W)
73.3	6	+17	Pete Rosamilia (2W)
72.9	2	+7	Rich Rubin (W)
72.0	14	+37	Doug Beyerlein (5W)
71.9	6	+16	Peter Jusoff (W)
70.7	6	+20	Pete Corbett
69.4	3	+8	Bruce Gletty
69.4	3	+8	Lucien L'Heureux
68.9	7	+16	Jeff Power (2W)
68.9	7	+16	Charles Welsh (W)
68.8	2	+6	Bob Strayon (W)
68.0	19	+41	John Smythe (8W)
67.8	6	+13	Jack Greene (W)
67.2	17	+35	Gene Frennitz (6W)
66.7	1	+4	Hernie Ackerman
66.7	1	+4	Ray Bowers
66.7	1	+4	Jim Bradley
66.7	1	+4	Brian Burley
66.7	9	+19	Bob Johnson (W)
66.7	20	+40	John Koning (4W)
66.7	1	+4	Henry Kringsman
66.7	1	+4	Richard Locke
66.7	1	+4	Jim Pratt
66.7	1	+4	Mike Rocavara
66.7	1	+4	Dave Scott
66.7	1	+4	Betty Shave
66.7	1	+4	Jack Valentine

66.7	1	+4	Jack Valentine	46.1	4	-2	Steve Caplan
65.6	4	+8	Rick Brooks (W)	46.1	4	-2	Donald Cowan
65.6	2	+5	Sam Nierenberg (W)	45.8	1	-1	Tom Cleaver
64.5	8	+14	Banks Mebane (W)	45.8	1	-1	Bill Hoyer
64.5	3	+6	Mark Nyderrek (W)	43.6	22	-17	Jerry White
64.5	3	+6	Russell Talp (W)	43.4	24	-19	Charles Reinsel (2W)
63.2	36	+57	Edi Birsan (8W)	43.2	16	-13	Dan Braunan
62.5	2	+4	Gary Gygar	42.7	3	-2	Eric Just
62.5	1	+3	J. T. Holcombe	42.7	3	-3	Arthur Lasky
62.5	2	+4	Joseph Shuldiner	41.7	1	-2	Herb Bartens
62.1	3	+5	Cliff Ollila	41.7	1	-2	Jay Bennett
62.1	11	+16	George Schelz (2W)	41.7	1	-2	Dale Bosowski
61.9	14	+20	Mike Goldstein (4W)	41.7	1	-2	Dieter Cordes
59.7	3	+4	Dave Lindsay (W)	41.7	1	-2	Alan Lasser
59.7	3	+4	Brad Payne (W)	41.7	1	-2	Micheal Parish
59.4	7	+8	Bud Pendergrass (2W)	41.7	1	-2	Stuart Strait
59.0	12	+13	Jerry Pournelle (5W)	41.7	1	-2	Charles Vest
58.3	1	+2	Read Bolter	40.8	10	-11	Bob Ward (W)
58.3	1	+2	Larry Bisschberg	40.3	3	-4	John Boardman
58.3	1	+2	David Isby	38.6	8	-11	Greg Warden
58.3	1	+2	Hilary Kollan	37.5	2	-4	Barry Andrus
58.3	1	+2	Emile Lugosch	37.5	1	-3	James Burt
58.3	1	+2	Mike Monahan	37.5	1	-3	Bruce Hobday
58.3	1	+2	Ray Myers	37.5	1	-3	Charles Peason
58.3	1	+2	Bill Oberschulte	37.5	1	-3	Rick Ransom
58.3	1	+2	Don Roll	37.5	1	-3	Mike Karp
58.3	1	+2	Ben Zablocki	35.5	3	-6	Sidney Witt
57.4	18	+16	John McCallum (2W)	34.4	2	-5	Mike Murray
57.3	25	+22	Larry St. Cyr (2W)	33.3	1	-4	Mike Conlon
56.2	2	+2	James Becker	33.3	1	-4	Mike Eripses
56.2	8	+6	Bill Linden	33.3	1	-4	Bill Inman
55.1	13	+8	Buddy Tretick (4W)	33.3	1	-4	Ray Mosely
54.9	3	+2	John Everson (W)	33.3	1	-4	Don Turnbull
54.8	7	+4	Don Berman (W)	33.3	1	-4	Richard Wile
54.8	7	+4	Sid Cochran	33.3	1	-4	Oliver Wischmeyer
54.2	1	+1	Greg Pitts	30.6	3	-8	Mike Aita
54.2	1	+1	Bill Roche	30.6	3	-8	Harry Brunsch
54.2	1	+1	David Summer	29.2	1	-5	George Mankiewicz
53.1	2	+1	Stephan Bobker (W)	29.2	1	-5	Rebecca Rose
52.4	3	+1	Ken Webber	29.2	1	-5	Diana Tomlinson
52.0	42	+10	Conrad von Metzke (2W)	26.4	4	-12	Larry Fong
50.0	4	0	Hugh Anderson (W)	25.0	1	-6	Raymond Bach
50.0	1	0	Steve Cairns	25.0	1	-6	Paul Gorman
50.0	1	0	David Emdee	25.0	1	-6	Larry Justus
50.0	2	0	Richard Golden (W)	25.0	2	-8	Robert Keathley
50.0	1	0	Wayne Harris	25.0	1	-6	Phil Kosiba
50.0	2	0	Glen Hertz (W)	25.0	1	-6	Andy Leider
50.0	1	0	David Olive	25.0	1	-6	Nicholas Maffeo
50.0	1	0	Bob Lane	25.0	1	-6	Louis Menyher
50.0	1	0	Blaine Mischel	25.0	2	-8	Tony Morale
50.0	1	0	Bob Schoolfield	25.0	1	-6	John Munro
50.0	1	0	John Seman	25.0	1	-6	John Turnquist
50.0	1	0	Ric Stephenson	25.0	1	-6	Jack Van Schaik
50.0	1	0	Stephen Tang	18.8	2	-10	Ken Counselman
50.0	1	0	Fred Winter	16.0	3	-14	Phil Castora
46.9	2	-1	Jim Boskey	12.5	2	-12	Steve Haribut
46.9	2	-1	Jay Kimmel	12.5	2	-12	Paul Jameson